Family Name	Taylor
Given Name	Paul
Person ID	1287243
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Family Name	Taylor
Given Name	Paul
Person ID	1287243
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to	My Feedback on their consultation site: This area is being decimated by continual developments. The overall satisfaction in living and working in this area is decreasing daily. What was a nice area to live is now becoming miserable - a sprawling mess. The building of additional 1100 extra homes is unthinkable. There is already massive contention about existing expansions and the roads are bottlenecks

comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

contention about existing expansions and the roads are bottlenecks everywhere during certain times of the day. It can take upto 30 minutes to get from Mosley Common to Tyldesley, a couple of miles down the road.

Trying to get onto Mosley Common roads from roads adjoining is very difficult especially in the early morning lunch time and early evening. There has already been accidents on the A580 at the end of Mosley Common and up the A580. The roads are not capable of handling such traffic.

The green spaces around our "villages" are disappearing fast and as an asthma sufferer I know the air is getting worse. All the time I am hearing from long term residents saying the are looking to move away - is this what Burnham and his cronies want?

I think this decision is already set in stone and nothing will change whatever people say. Greater Manchester do not care what Residents want as long as they are hitting government targets. Even this online "consultation" is designed to put people off from talking part - just trying to confuse people, too complicated, badly structured, takes too long and worded it in such a way to get people to give up part way through. It should have been written to be resident friendly - not positive decision friendly and not part of the "council prevention of feedback department". Paying lip service to feedback is not effective and although the Council may get their way - they are certainly losing the support by people who want to live and work in a nice area - not a gridlocked sprawling metropolis!

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Our once lovely neighbourhood is collateral damage to target by the Government - at any cost! I hope the planners get this and listen to the Public and actually spend time seeing the problems and damage already happening ... but I fear the worse as this may be just a lip service, tick box exercise!"